

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
ADDENDUM 1 to RFI 310-16-067

Reference is made to the Request for Information (RFI) to Service Providers for Project Programming, Scheduling, and Financial System, upon which proposals will be received until 11:00 a.m., local time, on June 20, 2016.

Following are the questions received from potential responders, as well as the responses provided by the Nevada Department of Transportation:

QUESTION 1: Can companies from Outside USA apply for this? (like, from India or Canada)

ANSWER 1: Yes, as long as the vendor files with the Nevada Secretary of State's Office, pursuant to NRS 76.100, and they can perform all required services.

QUESTION 2: Will vendors need to come over there [USA] for meetings?

ANSWER 2: No, as long as vendors can meet meeting requirements through the use of video conferencing technologies.

QUESTION 3: Can we perform the tasks (related to RFP) outside USA? (like, from India or Canada)

ANSWER 3: Yes.

QUESTION 4: Can we submit the proposals via email?

ANSWER 4: Yes, per Section 1.3, Vendor Submissions.

QUESTION 5: In regards to section 1.6. Response Format, is the response for "Section 4 – Concept of Operations" expected to describe the vendors operations for delivery and support of the solution whether it be on premise, hosted by the vendor, Software as a Service, etc.?

ANSWER 5: Yes.

QUESTION 6: In regards to section 1.6. Response Format, is the response for "Section 7 – Project Questions" expected to describe the vendors ability to meet the Departments needs described in "3. Information to be provided by submitting vendors"?

ANSWER 6: Section 7 is allotted for any project assumptions or questions that may arise after this Question and Answer period.

QUESTION 7: What is the database environment for PSAMS?

ANSWER 7: Oracle 10g.

QUESTION 8: What is the financial system currently in place, and what is its database environment?

ANSWER 8: The financial management application (FinMan) is an in-house developed user interface which utilizes the same, existing PSAMS database, using Oracle 10g.

QUESTION 9: Is there a separate scheduling component in place, or is it built in to PSAMS?

ANSWER 9: The scheduling component is built into the current PSAMS application.

QUESTION 10: What is the current document management system?

ANSWER 10: ApplicationXtender is currently used by the Department for document management, but PSAMS has no current direct interface. Documents available through PSAMS are currently stored individually on a Department server.

QUESTION 11: Does PSAMS keep information for project through construction or just through letting?

ANSWER 11: Both, depending upon the template used.

QUESTION 12: What is the current construction management system?

ANSWER 12: AASHTO, Field Builder & Field Manager.

QUESTION 13: What is the current bid letting system?

ANSWER 13: ExeVision iPD, a client-based electronic bidding system.

QUESTION 14: Can an example of the Department's standard user interface theme be provided?

ANSWER 14: The Department does not currently have a standard UI Theme.

QUESTION 15: In section 3.1 there is a requirement for resource scheduling. What type of scheduling functions does the Department wish to perform from within the Solution?

ANSWER 15: Project schedules, task & milestone relationships, employee workload (assigned projects).

QUESTION 16: In section 3.1 there is a requirement for resource scheduling. Is the Department seeking to perform day-to-day activity and resource scheduling from within the Solution, such as setting work hour schedules for employees, establishing activity schedules, and other schedule planning?

ANSWER 16: Current system shows month-to-month project workload scheduling. Daily and hourly resource scheduling is not a system requirement, but the Department is open to additional resource scheduling functionality, if available.

QUESTION 17: In section 3.1.6 there is a requirement to export data into Electronic Data Transfer file format. This term is sometimes used generically to describe a number of different file formats and transmission methods. Can you provide specifics as to exactly how this file should be formatted and transmitted?

ANSWER 17: Electronic Data Transfer, as referred to in this document, is intended to cover the various file formats required for system interfaces with the systems mentioned in Section 3.1.7. These systems may include both internal systems such as the state Integrated Financial System (IFS) and external systems such as the Federal Financial Management Information System (FMIS). The list of specific system interfaces, file formats and transmission methods will be identified/finalized at a later date.

QUESTION 18: In section 3.1.6 there is a requirement to export data into Electronic Data Transfer file format. This term is sometimes used generically to describe a number of different file formats and transmission methods. Is this an action that the user would need to execute through the user interface or would this be an automated integration point?

ANSWER 18: There may be instances where either of these methods would be required.

QUESTION 19: In section 4.2 there is a bullet labeled Provide Contact List. Can you please provide clarifying detail on this requirement?

ANSWER 19: Vendors should provide a business contact or liaison for database issues and clarification, if applicable.

QUESTION 20: In section 4.3 development standards and practices are outlined. Is this section target towards vendor development practices or is this targeted to internal NV-DOT developers that wish to extend the platform? If targeted toward vendor development, is it a requirement that the platform be developed on these technologies? Please provide clarification.

ANSWER 20: If the proposed solution is intended to be installed on the Department network, or is intended to be supported by the Department post-contract, then the development standards and practices must be followed.

QUESTION 21: Section 3.3.1.1.a (LEGACY DATA): Is it possible to provide additional information as to what type of legacy data is desired? Also, what is the goal of having legacy data imported into a new system?

ANSWER 21: All historic and current project information and data from the existing PSAMS (Oracle 10g) database will be migrated into the new system as part of this project.

QUESTION 22: GIS (Section 3.3.1.4 and 4.6): The sections discussing GIS seem to imply that a custom MAP solution is needed, however ESRI ArcGIS already has all of the GIS and mapping

functionality built-in. The only element missing in ArcGIS would be the metadata from a project controls solution.

ANSWER 22: ArcGIS and/or other tools may be used for the mapping application, provided that the solution complies with the GIS standards contained in this RFI.

QUESTION 23: Off the Shelf (OTS) Solution: The RFI seems to assume (Section 4.3) that the solution would be a custom coded development. Is NDOT open to considering off the shelf solutions that would deliver the desired functionality?

ANSWER 23: Yes. Off the Shelf (OTS) solutions are acceptable providing the solution can be configured to allow for specific Nevada Department of Transportation needs.

QUESTION 24: On-Premise or SaaS: Section 4.4 implies that NDOT desires an On-Premise solution. Is this correct? Or will NDOT consider Software as a Service (SaaS) options also?

ANSWER 24: The Department will consider SaaS as well as On-Premise solutions.

QUESTION 25: Reporting (Section 4.3) – Section 4.3 notes that “All reporting modules will use Crystal Reports for generating reports. Out of the box, our solution uses Microsoft SQL Reporting Services (SSRS) which we believe to be a more powerful and flexible reporting tool. Is NDOT acceptable to using SSRS?

ANSWER 25: No. The solution must follow the Department Technical Standards listed in Appendix A.

RUDY MALFABON, P.E., DIRECTOR
Nevada Department of Transportation